

Aragon Protocol  
Smart Contract Audit



# Smart Contract Audit Aragon Protocol

Prepared for Aragon • November 2020

v201113

1. Executive Summary
2. Scope
3. Assessment
  - Introduction
  - Modules and custom functions
  - Role-based authorization
  - Registry
  - Voting
  - Payments and shares
5. Disclaimer

# 1. Executive Summary

In October 2020, [Aragon](#) engaged [Coinspect](#) to perform a source code review of changes to Aragon Protocol. The objective of the audit was to evaluate the security of the smart contracts.

The assessment covered the repository at <https://github.com/aragon/protocol> as of commit `f1b3361a160da92b9bb449c0a05dee0c30e41594` tag audit, with focus on recent changes on top of existing Aragon Court code.

**No security issues were identified during the assessment.**

## 2. Scope

The audit started on October 26th and included the repository at <https://github.com/aragon/protocol> as of commit `f1b3361a160da92b9bb449c0a05dee0c30e41594` tag audit. The focus of the audit was a set of changes on top of the existing Aragon Court 1.2 code, as detailed at <https://github.com/aragon/protocol/tree/diff/packages/evm/diffs>.

The scope of the audit was limited to the following Solidity source files, shown here with their sha256sum hash:

<code>6c4fcf6ce7ae2d30402733c6bfd2b7c6bd25eef5de2607f639388dd1b57b2046</code>	<code>AragonProtocol.sol</code>
<code>d80c306819d39c9e7fe467a584aff55254d0ae30d822d2d6c000ef74656e8173</code>	<code>arbitration/IArbitrable.sol</code>
<code>53e99cc8eb0f34c377cfa94588a4e79fc507f38c99ecbf8679dc34fe8b94039e</code>	<code>arbitration/IArbitrator.sol</code>
<code>989531e0db8b17d1f4cd037b657eea5aae400ffab166787d2d0ab5c1c694e3f2</code>	<code>disputes/DisputeManager.sol</code>
<code>edad7367bc1a92bc7e42111f18b557e3c12420a31af2c0f21a1d3d6756468f33</code>	<code>disputes/IDisputeManager.sol</code>
<code>69e051a6d70bf7e69cee4c25dd142475890f82a608bdb9c54448d511fdf87c22</code>	<code>payments/IPaymentsBook.sol</code>
<code>fa7c8c6380a24501366c510920b53dd71c7dd2000160f5b3231d956d362712e5</code>	<code>payments/PaymentsBook.sol</code>
<code>bc5d77ef323e92497444bbfe812f966f158740731338421ddc8786bf34868238</code>	<code>registry/GuardiansRegistry.sol</code>
<code>05136412ba98d04c81e360d7c7c4cb05a228028d8d0b14c012cd6313d2bce8e4</code>	<code>registry/IGuardiansRegistry.sol</code>
<code>b3de5e76b631650b0d0feb0a98ccb0461f67a714a625bface4d8ebd5bc5f2ee</code>	<code>registry/ILockManager.sol</code>
<code>c89cb78a5057cad48a541f47c26292b0f66a5039e83a493efb3c9f1983222b55</code>	<code>test/AragonProtocolMock.sol</code>
<code>678c1624d0e14d4f2bafb8c2f246bc426453c759d228a358d94475cc66bdbc80</code>	<code>treasury/ITreasury.sol</code>
<code>0d659856aea98acfc83a1e0643d78543bd8f20fb698f026fbac46f23b7d66ed1</code>	<code>treasury/ProtocolTreasury.sol</code>
<code>1b9069dc7484b77378a213ac048cce6b8dd9efb0e5c10c13073b138b0fe160e1</code>	<code>voting/CRVoting.sol</code>
<code>48ec486bf3c8560eb11886ada698f3d393709bfeae539091ebc627b0b8e66752</code>	<code>voting/ICRVotingOwner.sol</code>
<code>c24da0a8f5be087ef5986af3cc04547da1476d2c0f287234f6eb48eb41d88931</code>	<code>voting/ICRVoting.sol</code>
<code>475ef8ef4a967861004b41fd72f48a55bbaef88f876dafaf96741320fbcf5fffb</code>	<code>core/clock/IClock.sol</code>
<code>75c8c9a937c4e75c3c67fc378a032032d77049bd2d7fe15758227ce29e0dc67c</code>	<code>core/clock/ProtocolClock.sol</code>
<code>977f78de665f60a5e7a34b011bd3c143d80ca251f93edaa53601a25b4d573a39</code>	<code>core/config/ConfigConsumer.sol</code>
<code>10bb4f4e59668a964adc6f6b346642df20c57de596c50ec7b670abd062c0ad3d0</code>	<code>core/config/IConfig.sol</code>
<code>df560cdef7c43b631749ba16044a6e22cb75cb9ecf8bf583972741e369a6cfe9</code>	
<code>core/config/ProtocolConfigData.sol</code>	
<code>7408f7cf58315b7bfbec6e981a8470b2db16d63c81abdb1e95172af75e19bef</code>	<code>core/config/ProtocolConfig.sol</code>
<code>e78e41ad24597529617cd4128c0de64288adcd790ae207f592fe3156efd4b0b9</code>	<code>core/modules/ACL.sol</code>
<code>5c5fb1a6e3f4f205bcd6397a6f2ebaa94077bb0f1e49bd0ae2b9c0b88931a2a1a</code>	
<code>core/modules/ControlledRecoverable.sol</code>	
<code>b84f72ada51a99a456bc3b358d07386abf14e89780145f8a69f9042c47f6e230</code>	<code>core/modules/Controlled.sol</code>
<code>95a3d52c7dd236012b7b1545603bb7f457748ec39f1a71947406be81f6ea9691</code>	<code>core/modules/Controller.sol</code>
<code>35312fd1bc9e294d9049efd06a87d4416c75b0f8ac8897e7024e8b111ea1f595</code>	<code>core/modules/IModulesLinker.sol</code>
<code>aef1b9743807029abd3e652b23612ee30bed31907e8411e88a09fc5691bffa7c</code>	<code>core/modules/ModuleIds.sol</code>
<code>ee311b191e060a405617fdcf82d4c13e3ff0a5070938ab9a6171fb9268ded1d2</code>	<code>lib/math/SafeMath64.sol</code>
<code>de10c2fb8e844e251e1104090668bcfc1d79b13b64d68c59b2ba94b0b01a473a</code>	<code>lib/math/SafeMath.sol</code>
<code>07ef87a5ccb08ae65d3a3bc8f50456773d8c7801458679fc8080e557a838714a</code>	<code>lib/standards/IERC20.sol</code>
<code>2a90bf3e9fff1d9c57f9c6e7b592dbbefb2f111a899a05d0f71f1a0178dcbb03</code>	<code>lib/tree/Checkpointing.sol</code>
<code>767f054474be48dc6a652f92833fb6d464add15d47c862c3d67dba884132b49e</code>	
<code>lib/tree/GuardiansTreeSortition.sol</code>	
<code>7923e18489087eb0f21074bde0b7e1199a4439b21f5d8cc6d83eeea60208ec5d</code>	<code>lib/tree/HexSumTree.sol</code>
<code>264e3a7ddc63816fff55066f2c0cab3ed7b225006fc0296fb169995ccc48adb</code>	<code>lib/utills/IsContract.sol</code>
<code>eaafd36c3c68d6620a4835224f5d1d5304cd243c22f640efc7c6c972398257b2e</code>	<code>lib/utills/PctHelpers.sol</code>
<code>30146a909041b86dfded49bbc62f4a4613fc733236f9cf5985009519ddffad2b</code>	<code>lib/utills/SafeERC20.sol</code>
<code>88e13cf826300c62dcf4009705436fba93ba812a85cef4a8512d18da7890f789</code>	<code>lib/utills/TimeHelpers.sol</code>
<code>8ebb921d740fa807b96cb8f51638cc852590280ac03c1657541216f192ce48a1</code>	<code>lib/utills/Uint256Helpers.sol</code>

## 3. Assessment

### Introduction

Aragon Protocol is a dispute resolution protocol. It handles subjective disputes that cannot be solved purely by smart contracts. Aragon Protocol relies on *guardians* that need to stake tokens to the Protocol in order to be drafted for voting on disputes, and this allows them to earn a share of the collected payments.

The AragonProtocol contract is the entry point and controller for the following modules:

- DisputeManager
- GuardiansRegistry
- CRVoting
- PaymentsBook
- ProtocolTreasury

These contracts are based on existing contracts from Aragon Court, with modifications including:

- Changes in terminology.
- Support for hot-swapping of modules.
- A new PaymentsBook module that replaces the Subscriptions module.
- Support custom functions that can be added to the AragonProtocol contract after deployment.
- Role-delegation for several functions in GuardiansRegistry, CRVoting and ProtocolTreasury.
- Functions for evidence submission in the DisputeManager module.
- Changes to ControlledRecoverable to allow recovery not only of tokens but also Ether.

The contracts are specified to be compiled with Solidity 0.5.17. This is the latest maintenance release of the 0.5.x series.

The contracts compile without any errors or warnings. Linting does not show any problems. The code is very clean and well commented.

The repository contains a very comprehensive set of 2054 unit tests for the smart contracts. After taking care of some minor hiccups ('out of memory' errors and timeouts), all tests passed.

### Modules and custom functions

Among the changes introduced relative to Aragon Court 1.2, Aragon Protocol was made more modular. The new mechanism of "module linking" is defined by the interface `IModulesLinker` and implemented in the `Controlled` contract, and it allows replacing individual modules while on-going disputes are still being resolved. Only the *modules governor* can replace modules after deployment, or enable and disable modules.

The *module's governor* can also extend the Controller (AragonProtocol) with “custom functions”. A custom function is just a pair (function signature, address), and if the fallback function ends up being called for a function with the given signature, the call is forwarded to the corresponding address:

```
function () external payable {
    address target = customFunctions[msg.sig];
    require(target != address(0), ERROR_CUSTOM_FUNCTION_NOT_SET);

    (bool success,) = address(target).call.value(msg.value)(msg.data);
    assembly {
        let size := returndatasize
        let ptr := mload(0x40)
        returndatacopy(ptr, 0, size)

        let result := success
        switch result case 0 { revert(ptr, size) }
        default { return(ptr, size) }
    }
}
```

Note that when a custom function is called `msg.sender` is the address of the Controller itself, *not* the original sender. And once a custom function is added, a call from the Controller to the custom function can be triggered by anyone without any sort of access control mechanism.

## Role-based authorization

The Protocol also includes a role-based system for authorizing a particular address to call a function in a module. This mechanism enables future extensions, for example a contract could implement its own verification scheme (e.g. off-chain signatures) and act on behalf of guardians.

The role-based system is implemented in `Controlled` and `Controller`. A function in a `Controlled` (i.e., a module) can use the `authenticateSender(user)` modifier, and this allows the function call to proceed only if 1) `msg.sender == user`, or 2) `msg.sender` has been granted the role to call this specific function:

```
modifier authenticateSender(address _user) {
    _authenticateSender(_user);
    _;
}

function _authenticateSender(address _user) internal view {
    require(_isSenderAllowed(_user), ERROR_SENDER_NOT_ALLOWED);
}

function _isSenderAllowed(address _user) internal view returns (bool) {
    return msg.sender == _user || _hasRole(msg.sender);
}

function _hasRole(address _addr) internal view returns (bool) {
    bytes32 roleId = keccak256(abi.encodePacked(address(this), msg.sig));
```

```
        return controller.hasRole(_addr, roleId);
    }
```

The Controller provides functions for setting and managing roles, and only the *config governor* can call these functions.

Note that a role corresponds to a function in a given contract, regardless of the user parameter passed to the modifier `authenticateSender`; this means that an address (a contract or an external account) with permission to call a function can call this function on behalf of *any* user. If no roles are set, the modifier `authenticateSender(user)` is equivalent to `require(msg.sender == user)`.

Role-based authorization is currently supported for the following functions: `withdraw` in `ProtocolTreasury`; `claimGuardianShare` in `PaymentsBook`; `commit` and `delegate` in `CRVoting`; and `unstake`, `activate`, `deactivate`, `stakeAndActivate`, `lockActivation` and `unlockActivation` in `GuardiansRegistry`.

## Registry

Guardians register in the `GuardianRegistry` and stake funds. A given amount of tokens can be “activated” allowing the Protocol to lock them if the guardian is drafted for a dispute resolution period. The guardians have three kinds of balances in the registry:

- Active: tokens activated for the Protocol that can be locked if the guardian is drafted.
- Locked: amount of active tokens that are locked for a draft.
- Available: tokens that are not activated for the Protocol and can be withdrawn by the guardian at any time.

Locked tokens cannot be withdrawn until they are unlocked after the period for which the guardian was drafted is over.

## Voting

The `CRVoting` contract implements a commit/reveal voting scheme that the guardians use for voting on disputes. The contract also allows for a guardian (or an authorized address) to delegate voting responsibilities to another party (a *delegate*).

The guardian, or a delegate designated by the guardian, or an authorized address can call the `commit` function to vote.

Also on a side note, the internal function `_chainId` in `CRVoting` is no longer used and can be removed.

## Payments and shares

The new PaymentsBook module implements functions for paying fees to the Protocol, and for splitting the income between the *config governor* and active *guardians*. After a period has ended, a guardian can call the `claimGuardianShare` function to receive their share of tokens or Ether for that period, and any address can call `claimGovernorShare` in order to make the governor receive his share.

The share each guardian gets is proportional to the guardian's active balance in the GuardiansRegistry (at certain checkpoint within the period in question).

Any address with the required role can call `claimGuardianShare` on behalf of a guardian, and the share of tokens or Ether is sent to the guardian. It is worth mentioning that the *config governor* has permission to call `setGovernorSharePct` to change his own share of the collected payments.



## 5. Disclaimer

The information presented in this document is provided as is and without warranty. Vulnerability assessments are a “point in time” analysis and as such it is possible that something in the environment could have changed since the tests reflected in this report were run. This report should not be considered a perfect representation of the risks threatening the analysed system, networks and applications.